



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/709,604 05/18/2004		Jui-Chiang Lin	LITP0026USA	3603		
27765	7590 01/18/2006		EXAMINER			
NORTH AN	MERICA INTELLECT	KAYRISH, MATTHEW				
	D, VA 22116	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		2653			

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/709,604		LIN, JUI-CHIANG				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			, Matthew G. Kayr	ish	2653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe			orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute.	TE OF THIS CC 6(a). In no event, howen all apply and will expire cause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\(\infty\)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>18 Ma</i>	av 2004		•				
• —			action is non-fina	al.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		- ,						
•	•	nlication							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
• —	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.								
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ation and/or	oloction require	mont		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents documents of the priori	have been rece have been rece ity documents ha (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Applicati ave been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eum (US Patent Number 6928045), in view of Yeh (US Publication Number 2002/0191531).
- 3. Regarding claim1, Eum et al disclose:

A floating-type clamping mechanism for use in an optical disk drive comprising:

A clamping body (figure 3, item 141);

A clamping yoke comprising a first central hole (figure 3, item 145);

A central clamping element for combining with the clamping yoke by passing through the first central hole (figure 3, item 132);

A magnetic element comprising a second central hole for holding the central clamping element and combining with the clamping yoke (figure 3, item 144);

Eum fails to disclose:

A plurality of elastic elements fixed to the clamping body and the clamping yoke.

Yeh et al disclose:

A plurality of elastic elements fixed to the clamping body and the clamping yoke (figure 3, item 42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Eum with elastic members, as taught by Yeh et al, in order to provide the clamping device with a variable clamping force.

4. Regarding claims 2 and 6, Eum et al disclose:

The floating-type clamping mechanism of claim 1 wherein the magnetic element is a magnet (column 3, line 39).

5. Regarding claim 3, Eum et al disclose:

The floating-type clamping mechanism of claim 1 wherein the clamping yoke further comprises a plurality of connecting holes and the clamping body comprises a plurality of connecting holes positioned correspondingly to the plurality of connecting holes of the clamping yoke and the plurality of elastic elements are connected to the plurality of connecting holes of the clamping yoke and the plurality of connecting holes of the clamping body (figure 7, item 146, connections must be holes).

6. Regarding claims 4, 5, 7 and 8,the combination of Eum et al, in view of Yeh et al disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/709,604

Art Unit: 2653

The floating-type clamping mechanism of claim 1 wherein when an optical disk is loaded into the optical disk drive, the magnetic element of the floating-type clamping mechanism attracts a magnetic element on a turntable of the optical disk drive for fixing the optical disk (column 1, lines 26-43);

When the optical disk is ejected from the optical disk drive, the magnetic element of the floating-type clamping mechanism separates from the magnetic element on the turntable of the optical disk drive and the magnetic element of the floating-type clamping mechanism separates from the clamping body by the elastic force of the plurality of elastic elements (Inherent in the combination).

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew G. Kayrish whose telephone number is 571-272-4220. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am 5pm M-F.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,604

Art Unit: 2653

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1-10-2006

Page 5

M

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500